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The Set Partition Lattice

For the set partition lattice $\Pi_n$, the Möbius number $\mu_n(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ is $-1$ for $n = 2$, $2$ for $n = 3$, and $-6$ for $n = 4$. This lecture provides a topological explanation of why $\mu_n(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$, and why $\mu(\hat{0}, x)$ has the same sign as $(-1)^{\text{rank}(x)}$. 
Properties of Familiar Posets

Posets: (distributive, modular, semimodular)

- For $B_n$, subsets of the set $[n] = [0, 1]^n$,
- For $[0, \lambda_1] \times \cdots \times [0, \lambda_\ell] = J([\lambda_1] + \cdots + [\lambda_\ell])$,
- For $B_n(q)$, subspaces of the vector space $(F_q)^n$,
- For normal subgroups of a finite group,
- For $\Pi_n$, partitions of the set $[n]$,
- For Bruhat order on the symmetric group $S_n$.

Properties:

Each is a finite, graded poset $\hat{P} = P \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ whose Möbius function alternates in sign (that is, $(-1)^{\text{rank}(y) - \text{rank}(x)} \mu(x, y) \geq 0$), and whose order complex $\Delta(P)$ has the homology of a wedge of spheres of dimension $\text{dim}(\Delta(P))$.

Bruhat order on $S_n$ is not a lattice.
Order Complexes and Face Lattices

**Definition:** Let $\hat{P} = P \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ be a finite poset. The order complex $\Delta(P)$ is the simplicial complex of nonempty chains in $P$. If $\hat{P}$ is graded of rank $n$, then $\Delta(P)$ is pure of dimension $n - 2$.

**Definition:** The face poset $F(\Gamma)$ of a finite complex $\Gamma$ is the poset of its simplices ordered by inclusion. Notice $\Delta(F(\Gamma)) = \text{sd}(\Gamma)$. If $\Gamma$ is pure of dimension $n - 2$, then the face lattice $\hat{F}(\Gamma)$ is graded of rank $n$.

\[
\hat{P} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Delta(P) \quad \text{order complex} \\
\text{face lattice } \hat{F}(\Gamma) \quad \leftarrow \quad \Gamma
\]

**Hall’s Theorem:** If $\hat{P} = P \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ is finite and graded, then

$$
\mu_{\hat{P}}(\hat{0}, \hat{1}) = \tilde{\chi}(\Delta(P)).
$$

where $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta) = -1 + c_0 - c_1 + \cdots$ if $\Delta$ has $c_i$ $i$-simplices, $i \geq 0$. 
The Cohen-Macaulay property

**Definition:** A finite, graded poset $\hat{P}$ is Cohen-Macaulay (over $\mathbb{Q}$) if for every $x < y$ in $\hat{P}$, the order complex $\Delta(x, y)$ of the open interval $(x, y)$ satisfies

$$\tilde{H}_i(\Delta(x, y), \mathbb{Q}) = 0, \text{ if } i < \dim(\Delta(x, y)).$$

**Theorem:** If $\hat{P}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then the Möbius function of $\hat{P}$ alternates in sign.

**Proof:** Since $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta(x, y), \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $i < d = \dim(\Delta(x, y))$, the Euler-Poincaré formula gives

$$\tilde{\chi}(\Delta(x, y)) = (-1)^d \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{H}_d(\Delta(x, y), \mathbb{Q}).$$

On the other hand, Hall’s theorem applied to $[x, y]$ gives

$$\mu_{\hat{P}}(x, y) = \tilde{\chi}(\Delta(x, y)).$$

Hence

$$\mu_{\hat{P}}(x, y) = (-1)^d \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{H}_d(\Delta(x, y), \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $d = \text{rank}(y) - \text{rank}(x) - 2$. 

Rank Selection

**Theorem:** Let \( \hat{P} = P \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\} \) be a finite, graded poset of rank \( n \). For \( S \subseteq [n - 1] \), let \( P_S \) be the subposet of elements of \( P \) whose ranks are in \( S \). If \( \hat{P} \) is Cohen-Macaulay, then the rank-selected subposet \( \hat{P}_S = P_S \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\} \) is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary** Let \( \alpha(S) \) be the number of maximal chains in \( \hat{P}_S \). Define

\[
\beta(S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S-T|} \alpha(T).
\]

If \( \hat{P} \) is Cohen-Macaulay, then \( \Delta(P_S) \) has the homology of a wedge of \( \beta(S) \) spheres of dimension \( |S| - 1 \).

**Example:** For the Boolean algebra \( B_n \), \( \alpha(S) \) is the number of permutations of \([n]\) whose descent set is contained in \( S \). So \( \beta(S) \) is the number of permutations of \([n]\) with descent set equal to \( S \).
Shellable Simplicial Complexes

Definition: Let $\text{bd}(\sigma)$ denote the boundary complex of the simplex $\sigma$. A finite, pure, $d$-dimensional complex $\Delta$ is shellable if its $d$-simplices can be ordered $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_t$ so that

$$(\text{bd}(\sigma_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{bd}(\sigma_{k-1})) \cap \text{bd}(\sigma_k)$$

is a pure $(d-1)$-dimensional complex for $2 \leq k \leq t$.

Note: A shellable $d$-dimensional complex is $(d-1)$-connected, hence is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $d$-spheres.

Theorem: Let $\hat{P} = P \cup \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ be a finite, graded poset of rank $n$. If $\Delta(P)$ is shellable, then $\hat{P}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Shelling orders can be obtained from certain labelings of the edges of the Hasse diagram.
Shelling of an abstract simplicial complex

The subcomplex

\[(\text{bd}(\sigma_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{bd}(\sigma_{k-1})) \cap \text{bd}(\sigma_k)\]

does not equal

\[(\sigma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_{k-1}) \cap \sigma_k.\]

**Example:** Let \(\sigma_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}\), \(\sigma_2 = \{1, 2, 4\}\), \(\sigma_3 = \{1, 3, 4\}\), and \(\sigma_4 = \{2, 3, 4\}\) be in \(\Delta\). Since \(\text{bd}(\{i, j, k\}) = \{\{i\}, \{j\}, \{k\}, \{i, j\}, \{i, k\}, \{j, k\}\}\),

\[(\text{bd}(\sigma_1) \cup \text{bd}(\sigma_2) \cup \text{bd}(\sigma_3)) \cap \text{bd}(\sigma_4) = \text{bd}(\sigma_4),\]

but

\[(\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \cup \sigma_3) \cap \sigma_4 = \sigma_4.\]

**Note:** If \(\Delta\) is shellable, then \(\Delta\) is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of \(\beta\) \(d\)-spheres, where

\(\beta = |\{k | \text{bd}(\sigma_k) \subseteq \text{bd}(\sigma_1) \cup \cdots \cup \text{bd}(\sigma_{k-1})\}|\)

and \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_t\) is any shelling.
Semimodular Lattices

Definition: An edge labeling $\lambda$ of the Hasse diagram of $\hat{P}$ is an EL-labeling if every interval $[x, y]$ in $\hat{P}$
(i) has a unique maximal chain $x_0 < \cdots < x_k$ such that $\lambda(x_0 < x_1) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda(x_{k-1} < x_k)$, and
(ii) the label sequence for every other maximal chain in $[x, y]$ is lexicographically later than the label sequence for this unique (weakly) rising chain.

Theorem: If $L$ is a finite semimodular lattice, then its Hasse diagram has an EL-labeling, and $\beta(S)$ is the number of maximal chains in $L$ whose label sequences have descent set $S$.

Idea of the Proof: Fix any linear ordering of the join-irreducibles $e_i$ in $L$ that extends their partial ordering. If $y$ covers $x$, let

$$
\lambda(x < y) = \min\{i \mid x \lor e_i = y\}.
$$
**Distributive Lattices**

**Corollary:** If $L = J(P)$ is a finite distributive lattice and $P \to [n]$ is a fixed order-preserving bijection, then $\beta(S)$ is the number of linear extensions of $P$ that have descent set $S$.

**Example:** Let $P = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ so $L = \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$.

The linear extensions, maximal simplices for $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and maximal simplices for $S = \{1, 3\}$:

- **1234:** $\sigma_1 = \{1 \subset 12 \subset 123\}$, $s_1 = \{1 \subset 123\}$;
- **1243:** $\sigma_2 = \{1 \subset 12 \subset 124\}$, $s_2 = \{1 \subset 124\}$;
- **2134:** $\sigma_3 = \{2 \subset 12 \subset 123\}$, $s_3 = \{2 \subset 123\}$;
- **2143:** $\sigma_4 = \{2 \subset 12 \subset 124\}$, $s_4 = \{2 \subset 124\}$;
- **2413:** $\sigma_5 = \{2 \subset 24 \subset 124\}$.

No linear extensions with exactly one with descent set $\{1, 2, 3\}$, so no exactly one with descent set $\{1, 3\}$, is contractible. so $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array}$ is a circle.
Stanley's Shelling of the
Set Partition Lattice

**Theorem:** Order the join-irreducibles of $\Pi_n$ as follows:

$$21 < 31 < 32 < 41 < 42 < 43 < \cdots,$$

where $ji$ is the partition with blocks $\{i, j\}$ and $\{k\}$, all $k \not\in \{i, j\}$. Then the maximal chains whose label sequences have descent set $[n-1]$ are those of the form

$$\hat{0} < x_{n-1} < x_{n-1} \lor x_{n-2} < \cdots < x_{n-1} \lor \cdots \lor x_1 < \hat{1},$$

where $x_1 = 21$, $x_2 \in \{31, 32\}$, $x_3 \in \{41, 42, 43\}$, and so on.

**Corollary:** The Möbius number $\mu(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$ of the set partition lattice $\Pi_n$ is $(-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!$. 
Order Analogues and Betti Polynomials

Since $B_n(q)$ is a modular lattice, the order complex of subspaces whose dimensions are in $S$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of $\beta(S; q)$ spheres of dimension $|S| - 1$. This polynomial is the sum, over permutations $\pi$ of $[n]$ with descent set $S$, of $q$ raised to the inversion number of $\pi$. A topological explanation of this fact uses Knuth’s order preserving surjection of $B_n(q)$ onto $B_n$.

**Example:** The Betti polynomial $\beta(S; q)$ for the lattice of subspaces evaluates at $q = 1$ to the Betti number $\beta(S)$ for the lattice of subsets.
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