

## Quantifier elimination over finite strings with monotone functions

**Definitions:** A *string* is a finite structure over the domain  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with constants  $n \geq 1$ , totally ordered by a binary relation  $<$ , together with a fixed number of monadic predicates. The initial signature will be augmented by an infinite family of additional monotone (increasing) functions, i.e. those satisfying  $x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$ .

Some are defined by using minimum and maximum operators applied to the parameterized set  $\varphi(y) = \{x : \varphi(x, y)\}$  defined by a first-order formula  $\varphi$ , where whenever we take the minimum or maximum of the empty set, we return the maximum or minimum element of the domain respectively, i.e.  $\min \{\} = n$  and  $\max \{\} = 1$ . These both have first-order definitions.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{x : \varphi(x, y)\} = z &\Leftrightarrow \forall x [\varphi(x, y) \rightarrow x \geq z] \wedge \exists x \varphi(x, y) \rightarrow \varphi(z, y) \wedge \nexists x \varphi(x, y) \rightarrow z = n \\ \max \{x : \varphi(x, y)\} = z &\Leftrightarrow \forall x [\varphi(x, y) \rightarrow x \leq z] \wedge \exists x \varphi(x, y) \rightarrow \varphi(z, y) \wedge \nexists x \varphi(x, y) \rightarrow z = 1 \end{aligned}$$

E.g. from these, it is easy to define the successor and predecessor functions  $s$  and  $p$  respectively, by:

$$\begin{aligned} s(y) = \min \{x : x > y\} &\quad \text{That is, } s(y) = y + 1 \text{ for } y < n \text{ (} n \text{ otherwise).} \\ p(y) = \max \{x : x < y\} &\quad \text{That is, } p(y) = y - 1 \text{ for } y > 1 \text{ (} 1 \text{ otherwise).} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that both are monotone, because successor tops out at  $n$  and predecessor bottoms out at  $1$ .

Other monotone increasing functions will be derived by taking any function  $F$  and applying:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{max}(y) &= \max \{x : F(x) \leq y\} \\ F_{min}(y) &= \min \{x : F(x) \geq y\} \end{aligned}$$

E.g.  $s_{min}(y) = p(y)$  and  $p_{max}(y) = s(y)$ ,  $s_{max}(y) = p(y)$  for  $y < n$  ( $n$  o.t.) and  $p_{min}(y) = s(y)$  for  $y > 1$  ( $1$  o.t.).

Another application is the Skolem function returning the nearest element satisfying a formula  $\varphi(x)$ . Let  $\gamma_\varphi$  and  $\lambda_\varphi$  be the monotone (and idempotent) upward and downward Skolem functions that return respectively the greatest element  $\leq y$  ( $1$  otherwise) or least element  $\geq y$  ( $n$  otherwise) satisfying  $\varphi$ . I.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\varphi &= F_{max} \quad (\text{except for } n) \quad \text{where } F(x) = x \text{ if } \varphi(x) \text{ (} n \text{ otherwise)} \\ \lambda_\varphi &= F_{min} \quad (\text{except for } 1) \quad \text{where } F(x) = x \text{ if } \varphi(x) \text{ (} 1 \text{ otherwise)} \end{aligned}$$

We will see that the incorrect values for  $\gamma_\varphi(n)$  or  $\lambda_\varphi(1)$  are never used in our construction.

**Theorem:** Every first-order formula over strings is equivalent to a Boolean combination of quantifier-free formulas in a vocabulary expanded by linear-time computable function symbols.

**Proof:** Proceed by a quantifier elimination induction on the structure of formulas, where the only nontrivial case is application of an existential quantifier  $\exists x \theta(x, \bar{y})$  to a formula in which negations have been pushed all the way down, replacing instances of  $\nless$ ,  $\ngtr$ , and  $\neq$  by the corresponding disjunctions over  $<$ ,  $>$ , and  $=$ . The key observation is that every term involving  $x$  (or any other variable) must be of the form  $F(x)$  where  $F$  is monotone, because all the functions are monadic and monotonicity is preserved under composition. In this case,  $F_{max}$  and  $F_{min}$  serve as quasi-inverses, allowing us to solve for  $x$  in any equality or inequality involving  $F(x)$  by moving  $F$  to the other side,

denoted by any term  $t$  (not containing  $x$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) \leq t & \Leftrightarrow x \leq F_{max}(t) \wedge F(1) \leq t \\ F(x) \geq t & \Leftrightarrow x \geq F_{min}(t) \wedge F(n) \geq t \end{aligned}$$

Use the transformations:  $F(x) < t \Leftrightarrow F(x) \not\geq t$ ;  $F(x) > t \Leftrightarrow F(x) \not\leq t$ ; and  $F(x) = t \Leftrightarrow t \leq F(x) \leq t$ .

Next, put  $\theta$  in disjunctive normal form and distribute the existential over disjunctions, factoring out any atomic formulas that don't involve  $x$  from within the scope of the quantifier to obtain  $\exists y \psi(x, \bar{y})$  where  $\psi$  is a conjunction of atomic formulas, each containing  $x$ . Collect all atomic formulas which depend *only* on  $x$  (including any equations which involve  $x$  on both sides), and call their conjunction  $\varphi(x)$ . If there is an equality remaining it must be of the form  $x = \tau(y)$  for  $y$  in  $\bar{y}$ , and consequently  $\exists x \psi$  is equivalent to  $\psi[x \leftarrow \tau(y)]$  in which all occurrences of  $x$  have been replaced by the term  $\tau(y)$ . Otherwise, all remaining formulas are inequalities of the form  $x > \alpha_i(y)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $x < \beta_j(y)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$  where each of the terms  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$  involve some variable  $y$  among  $\bar{y}$  (not necessarily the same one). Rewrite these as  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k < x < \beta_1, \dots, \beta_l$  in order to see that they are equivalent to the disjunction over all  $i$  and  $j$  of the conjunction over all  $i'$  and  $j'$  of  $\alpha_{i'} \leq \alpha_i < x < \beta_j \leq \beta_{j'}$ . So  $x$  is sandwiched between two terms  $\alpha_i = \alpha < x < \beta = \beta_j$  (let  $\alpha = 1$  if  $k = 0$  and  $\beta = n$  if  $l = 0$ ). To express  $\exists x \psi$  we simply assert  $\alpha < \gamma_\varphi(p(\beta))$  or equivalently  $\lambda_\varphi(s(\alpha)) < \beta$  (this is where we need successor and predecessor, and note that  $\gamma_\varphi(n)$  or  $\lambda_\varphi(1)$  are never used).

To see each newly defined monotone function is computable in linear-time, it suffices to show by induction that  $F_{max}$  and  $F_{min}$  can be computed in linear-time, since clearly the values for predecessor and successor can be tabulated outright in linear-time. By induction hypothesis assume that a monotone  $F$  has been tabulated in linear-time. Starting from the beginning for  $F_{max}$  and the end for  $F_{min}$  respectively, it is a simple matter to assign all the values for them in a loop:

$F_{max}$ : For  $y = 1$ , let  $F_{max}(1) = \max \{x : F(x) = 1\}$ , starting the search from  $x = 1$  and ending when  $F(x) > 1$ . For  $y = 2$  upto  $n$  compute  $F_{max}(y) = \max \{x : F(x) \leq y\}$  starting from  $x = F_{max}(y - 1)$  and ending when  $F(x) > y$ .

$F_{min}$ : For  $y = n$ , let  $F_{min}(n) = \min \{x : F(x) = n\}$ , starting the search from  $x = n$  and ending when  $F(x) < n$ . For  $y = n - 1$  downto  $1$  compute  $F_{min}(y) = \min \{x : F(x) \geq y\}$  starting from  $x = F_{min}(y + 1)$  and ending when  $F(x) < y$ .

The total number of  $x$  values searched over all  $y$  values is linear because of monotonicity. [Therefore a minor modification might need to be made in computing the Skolem functions.]

**Corollary:** After a linear-time preprocessing stage; every first-order formula can be evaluated in constant-time given any particular assignment of its free variables.

**Proof:** Convert to a quantifier-free formula  $\psi(\bar{y})$ , and after computing tables for all the functions needed in linear-time, simply plug in values for the free variables and evaluate it in constant-time.

P.S. Extending this to modular counting quantifiers is even easier, since we only need to add monadic truth predicates.